

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST MAINED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 11730288 --0772787136 THE THE PASTUSOC EXAMINER FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER BLUDWINA 1275 K STREET, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20006 1.86 DATE MAILED: This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. 01/31/90 COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS ☐ This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on ______ This action is made final. 30 days from the date of this letter. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire. month(s). Fallure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: SUMMARY OF ACTION 1-56 are pending in the application. Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims 3. Claims_ 4. Claims_ 5. Claims_ 1-56 are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with Informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. \square Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on ____ _ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10.

The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filled on _____ has (have) been 🔲 approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on _______, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation). 12. \square Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has \square been received \square not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _ 13.

Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, 24-34, 38-56, drawn to DNA, method of cloning, vector, cells, classified in Class 536; 435, subclass 27; 172.3, respectively.
- II. Claims 15-24, 35-37, drawn to polypeptide and method of use, classified in Class 530; 435, subclass 350; 7, Nespectively.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different products, or (2) the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP 806.05(f)).

In the instant case, the peptides can be produced by a separate process such as by chemical synthesis.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Kenneth Myers on 1/22/90 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dr. A. Brown at telephone number 703-557-0908.

Brown/bg

1-29-90

W/ 1/30/90

JU: